

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/039,240	01/04/2002	Vincent E. Bryan	170134.401	7289	
500	7590 11/16/2004		EXAMINER		
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC			THANH,	THANH, LOAN H	
701 FIFTH AVE SUITE 6300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092			. 3763		

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application/Control Number: 10/039,240

Art Unit: 3763

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

The specification and claim objections have been withdrawn in view of the amendment filed 06/09/04.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 31 is vague and indefinite because it is unclear what the scope of the Claim is encompassing. The claim is dependent on a rejected claim 28. It is presumed that applicant is intending this claim to be canceled.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/039,240

Art Unit: 3763

Claims 1-2,4-5,8,24-25,29,32,33,34-35,37,39-41,43-44,46,48-49,51-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zaslavsky et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,228,023).

Zaslavsky et al. disclose a device having a tubular member 22 having a distal tip having an annular surface surrounding a port and a plurality of barb/ projection/ means for engaging 40, 42 projecting at an angle from the annular surface, each bar having a sharp edge. The device is considered to be capable of performing the function.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5,8-10,24—25,29-30,32-37,39-41,43-46,48-58 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kieturakis (U.S. Patent No. 5,662,673).

Kieturakis discloses a device having a tubular member having a distal tip having an annular surface surrounding a port and a plurality of barb/ projection/ means for engaging (e.g. 54 a, 54b) projecting at an angle from the annular surface, each bar having a sharp edge. See figures 1, 3-6 and all the similar figures. The barbs are considered to be unidirectional with one another. The device is considered to be capable of performing the function.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 06/09/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments are not convincing. Applicant appears to arguing the intended use of the device. The prior art is capable of performing the intended use. In response to applicant's argument that applicant's device is intended for grasping and

Application/Control Number: 10/039,240

Art Unit: 3763

does not puncture, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Further, applicant's barbs also puncture or pierce the tissue.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3763

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LoAn H. Thanh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4966. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. (First Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Lucchesi can be reached on (571) 272-4977.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LoAn H. Thanh — Primary Examiner Art Unit 3763